

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 06960 01 OF 04 141850Z  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-05 H-01 INR-10  
L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 SP-02 SS-15 ICA-11  
IO-13 ACDA-12 AID-05 CEA-01 COME-00 EB-08 FRB-03  
STR-07 TRSE-00 LAB-04 SIL-01 OMB-01 AGR-01 /122 W  
-----017529 141941Z /50

R 141831Z APR 78  
FM AMEMBASSY BONN  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7713  
AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS  
INFO AMEMBASSY BERLIN  
USMISSION USBERLIN  
AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST  
AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST  
AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN  
AMEMBASSY LONDON  
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW  
AMEMBASSY PARIS  
AMEMBASSY WARSAW  
USMISSION USNATO

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 04 BONN 06960

USEEC

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1  
TAGS: PGOV BQG EEC  
SUBJECT: UK PROPOSAL FOR DEMARCHE TO EC REQUESTING  
BETTER INFORMATION ON MATTERS AFFECTING BERLIN

REFS: (A) STATE 64473 (NOTAL); (B) 77 BONN 12052 (NOTAL)  
(C) 77 SECTO 12008 (NOTAL)

BEGIN SUMMARY. BASED ON ITS EXPERIENCE IN THE CURRENT  
EC/CEMA TEXTILE AGREEMENT NEGOTIATIONS, THE UK HAS PRO-  
POSED IN THE BONN GROUP A DEMARCHE TO THE EC REQUESTING  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 06960 01 OF 04 141850Z

THAT THE ALLIES BE KEPT BETTER INFORMED ON EC MATTERS  
AFFECTING BERLIN. THE FRG HAS RESERVED ITS POSITION IN  
THE BONN GROUP AND HAS SENT THE QUESTION TO FOREIGN  
MINISTER GENSCHER FOR DECISION. THE EMBASSY CONSIDERS  
THAT MORE DIRECT SOURCES OF INFORMATION WOULD BE USEFUL  
BUT NOT ESSENTIAL AT THIS TIME. THIS PROBLEM HAS IMPLICA-  
TIONS WHICH EXTEND BEYOND THE US INTEREST IN BERLIN AND

COULD DEVELOP INTO A DISPUTE BETWEEN THE FRG AND THE UK  
OVER THE QUESTION OF BERLIN AND THE EC. ACTION REQUESTED:  
SEE PARAGRAPH 14. END SUMMARY

1. LONDON HAS BECOME CONCERNED DURING BONN GROUP CONSULTATIONS ON THE EC/CEMA TEXTILE AGREEMENT PROBLEM THAT THE ALLIES, UNLIKE THE FRG, ARE NOT BEING KEPT WELL ENOUGH INFORMED TO MAKE TIMELY DECISIONS. THIS LED TO AN INSTRUCTED UK SUGGESTION AT THE MARCH 30 BONN GROUP MEETING THAT THE ALLIES AND THE FRG MAKE A LOW-KEY APPROACH TO THE EC COMMISSION, AT WHICH TIME WE WOULD ASK THAT ALL OF THE ALLIES BE KEPT INFORMED IN BRUSSELS OF ANY SIGNIFICANT EC DEVELOPMENTS WHICH MIGHT AFFECT ALLIED RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES IN BERLIN.

2. IN PRESENTING THE PROPOSAL, THE UK REP (EYERS) EMPHASIZED THAT THE UK WAS SATISFIED WITH FRG HANDLING OF THE EC-EE NEGOTIATIONS IN THE BONN GROUP. HE ALSO SAID THAT THE UK RECOGNIZED THAT THE FRG SHOULD REMAIN THE FIRST POINT OF CONTACT WITH THE EC ON BERLIN MATTERS, BECAUSE THE ALLIES HAD CHARGED THE FRG WITH THE REPRESENTATION ABROAD OF BERLIN. HOWEVER, ESPECIALLY BECAUSE THE TREATMENT OF BERLIN IN ANY EC AGREEMENT WITH EE COUNTRIES WOULD CREATE AN IMPORTANT PRECEDENT, THE UK FELT THE ALLIES NEEDED TO BE INFORMED ON A MORE TIMELY CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BONN 06960 01 OF 04 141850Z

BASIS.

3. THE US REP, REFERRING TO THE DEPARTMENT'S VIEWS ON THE PROPOSED DEMARCHE TO EC PRESIDENT JENKINS' VISIT TO BERLIN (REF A), SAID HE ASSUMED THE DEPARTMENT WOULD PREFER THAT THE US BE INCLUDED IN SUCH A DEMARCHE. THE FRENCH REP (RICHARD) ASSUMED PARIS WOULD AGREE TO US PARTICIPATION.

4. THE FRG REP (VON RICHTHOFEN) RESPONDED THAT HE THOUGHT THIS QUESTION WOULD FORM PART OF THE BONN GROUP STUDY ON BERLIN'S RELATIONSHIP TO THE EC. IF QUESTIONS OF STATUS AND SECURITY WERE AFFECTED, THEN THE THREE POWERS SHOULD CERTAINLY BE BROUGHT INTO THE PICTURE. HE SAID THAT ALL AGREED, HOWEVER, THAT THE TEXTILE NEGOTIATIONS WERE NOT CONSTITUTIVE IN NATURE BUT RATHER DECLARATIVE. USE OF THE BONN GROUP AS THE ONLY FORUM TO DISCUSS THIS ISSUE HAD WORKED OUT WELL IN THE PAST. IF THE BRITISH SUGGESTION INVOLVED FORMING A SUB-GROUP OF THE BONN GROUP IN BRUSSELS, THE FRG WOULD NOT FAVOR IT. HE SAID HE WOULD NEED TO KNOW MORE ABOUT LONDON'S PLANS.

5. EYERS ASSURED VON RICHTHOFEN THAT THE BRITISH ALSO DID NOT WANT ANY NEW CONSULTATIVE MACHINERY. LONDON HAD NO DOUBT THAT THE FRG IN BRUSSELS SHOULD PLAY THE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 06960 02 OF 04 141858Z  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-05 H-01 INR-10  
L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 SP-02 SS-15 ICA-11  
IO-13 ACDA-12 AID-05 CEA-01 COME-00 EB-08 FRB-03  
STR-07 TRSE-00 LAB-04 SIL-01 OMB-01 AGR-01 /122 W  
-----017733 141942Z /50

R 141831Z APR 78  
FM AMEMBASSY BONN  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7714  
AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS  
INFO AMEMBASSY BERLIN  
USMISSION USBERLIN  
AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST  
AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST  
AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN  
AMEMBASSY LONDON  
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW  
AMEMBASSY PARIS  
AMEMBASSY WARSAW  
USMISSION USNATO

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 02 OF 04 BONN 06960

USEEC

LEADING ROLE IN INFORMATION GATHERING AND COORDINATION WITH THE EC. LONDON, HOWEVER, FELT THAT IT WAS RECEIVING LESS DIRECT INFORMATION THAN IT WANTED. LONDON WAS INTENSELY INTERESTED IN THE AREA OF APPLICATION CLAUSE. LONDON HAD FULLY SUPPORTED FRG POSITIONS IN THE NEGOTIATIONS, BUT IT HAD SUBSTANTIVE REASONS TO BE VERY INTERESTED IN CONCLUSION OF THE AGREEMENTS. ALSO, ANY PRECEDENTS CREATED IN THE AGREEMENTS COULD HAVE IMPLICATIONS FOR THE STATUS OF BERLIN.

6. EYERS SAID ANOTHER POINT WAS TIMING. HE AGREED  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 06960 02 OF 04 141858Z

THAT THE METHOD OF CONSULTATIONS NEEDED TO BE MADE MORE  
EXPLICIT. THE PACE OF THE BONN GROUP STUDY ON THE WEST  
BERLIN/EC RELATIONSHIP, HOWEVER, WAS TOO SLOW TO BE OF  
USE AS A VEHICLE FOR SOLVING THE PROBLEM IN REGARD TO  
THE TEXTILE NEGOTIATIONS.

7. VON RICHTHOFEN SAID THAT THE FRG DID NOT OPPOSE  
PRAGMATIC SOLUTIONS, E.G. TO THE PROBLEM OF ENSURING A  
FULL FLOW OF INFORMATION ABOUT THE EC TEXTILE AGREEMENT.  
HOWEVER, SINCE THERE WERE OTHERS IN THE FOREIGN OFFICE  
COMPETENT FOR EC MATTERS, HE WOULD HAVE TO RESERVE HIS  
POSITION AND WOULD MAKE A RESPONSE AT THE NEXT SESSION.

8. AT THE APRIL 4 BONN GROUP MEETING, THE FOREIGN  
OFFICE'S CONCERN ABOUT THE UK PROPOSAL WAS REFLECTED BY  
VON BRAUNMUEHL'S STATEMENT THAT THE PROPOSAL HAD BEEN  
SENT TO FOREIGN MINISTER GENSCHER FOR A DECISION. NO  
ANSWER WAS YET AVAILABLE.

9. VON BRAUNMUEHL SAID AT THE APRIL 11 BONN GROUP  
MEETING THAT GENSCHER HAD STILL NOT MADE A DECISION.  
IN THE MEANTIME, VON BRAUNMUEHL SAID, THE FRG HAD  
INSTRUCTED ITS MISSION IN BRUSSELS TO KEEP THE ALLIED  
MISSIONS THERE FULLY INFORMED. VON BRAUNMUEHL ALSO  
POINTED OUT A POSSIBLE PROBLEM: WHETHER THE  
THREE ALLIES AND THE FRG HAD A RIGHT TO ASK THE COMMIS-  
SION TO INFORM NON-EC MEMBERS, I.E. THE US, ABOUT THE  
NEGOTIATIONS WITHOUT APPROVAL FROM THE REMAINING EC  
MEMBERS. THIS WAS UNDER DISCUSSION IN THE FRG.

10. IN A DISCUSSION OF THE SUBJECT, THE US REP OBSERVED  
THAT THERE ARE TWO ASPECTS TO THE PROBLEM OF EC TREATIES  
WITH CEMA COUNTRIES: ONE WHICH DIRECTLY CONCERNS THE US  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BONN 06960 02 OF 04 141858Z

AND ONE WHICH DOES NOT. WHETHER BERLIN IS INCLUDED IN  
SUCH TREATIES DIRECTLY CONCERNS US. ON THE  
OTHER HAND, DECISIONS OF THE EC MEMBER COUNTRIES ON  
NEGOTIATING TACTICS DO NOT DIRECTLY CONCERN US, SO  
LONG AS OUR RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES ARE NOT  
AFFECTED. THEREFORE, IT WOULD APPEAR APPROPRIATE THAT  
THE TYPE OF DEMARCHE SUGGESTED BY THE UK TO THE EC  
SHOULD INCLUDE THE US, BUT SHOULD BE LIMITED IN

SCOPE.

11. COMMENT: THE FRG POSITION THAT IT IS ESSENTIAL TO INCLUDE BERLIN IN ALL EC TREATIES IS REFLECTED IN LAST YEAR'S EXTENSIVE BONN GROUP CONSIDERATION OF THE PROPOSED EC/SOVIET FISHERIES TREATY (REF B). THE FRG'S RESISTANCE TO ANYTHING WHICH THE FRG CONSIDERS MIGHT ADVERSELY AFFECT BERLIN'S CONTINUED INCLUSION IN THE EC IS ALSO WELL-KNOWN, AS ONCE AGAIN EVIDENCED AT THE POLITICAL DIRECTORS' MEETING PRIOR TO DECEMBER 1977 QUADRIpartite DINNER IN BRUSSELS (REF C). THE FRG IS PARTICULARLY CAUTIOUS ABOUT ANYTHING WHICH MIGHT IMPINGE ON ITS ROLE AS THE PARTY PRIMARILY, AND TO THE EXTENT ALLIED RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES ARE NOT AFFECTED, EXCLUSIVELY, RESPONSIBLE FOR BERLIN'S RELATIONSHIP TO THE EC.

12. IT APPEARS FROM THE UK PROPOSAL FOR A DEMARCHE TO THE EC THAT THE UK MAY HOPE TO OBTAIN MORE LEVERAGE ON THE CONDUCT OF THE EC/CEMA TEXTILE NEGOTIATIONS THAN IT NOW CONSIDERS IT HAS, ESPECIALLY ON THE KEY QUESTION OF BERLIN.

13. THE DEPARTMENT WILL RECALL EARLIER DISCUSSIONS OF POSSIBILITIES FOR STAYING BETTER INFORMED ON EC DEVELOPMENTS WHICH COULD HAVE AN EFFECT ON BERLIN. THE STUDY ON PROBLEMS IN CONNECTION WITH FRG REPRESENTATION OF BERLIN INTERESTS ABROAD WHICH WAS PREPARED  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 BONN 06960 02 OF 04 141858Z

IN THE BONN GROUP AND APPROVED BY MINISTERS AT THE DECEMBER 1976 QUADRIpartite DINNER IN BRUSSELS (76 SECTO 32042) CONTAINED THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATION IN THE SECTION ON BERLIN AND THE EC:

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 06960 03 OF 04 141900Z  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-05 H-01 INR-10  
L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 SP-02 SS-15 ICA-11  
IO-13 ACDA-12 AID-05 CEA-01 COME-00 EB-08 FRB-03  
STR-07 TRSE-00 LAB-04 SIL-01 OMB-01 AGR-01 /122 W  
-----017781 141943Z /50

R 141831Z APR 78  
FM AMEMBASSY BONN  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7715  
AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS  
INFO AMEMBASSY BERLIN  
USMISSION USBERLIN  
AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST  
AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST  
AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN  
AMEMBASSY LONDON  
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW  
AMEMBASSY PARIS  
AMEMBASSY WARSAW  
USMISSION USNATO

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 03 OF 04 BONN 06960

USEEC

"24.(C) THE GERMAN, BRITISH AND FRENCH  
GOVERNMENTS, IN CONSULTATION WITH THAT OF THE US,  
SHOULD IDENTIFY WELL IN ADVANCE THE BERLIN ASPECTS  
OF EVERY POLITICALLY IMPORTANT NEW COMMUNITY  
DEVELOPMENT AND ENSURE THAT NO DEVELOPMENT IS  
PERMITTED TO AFFECT ALLIED RIGHTS AND RESPON-  
SIBILITIES, INCLUDING THOSE RELATING TO MATTERS OF  
SECURITY AND STATUS."

14. AT THE SAME QUADRIpartite DINNER, MINISTERS  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 06960 03 OF 04 141900Z

ALSO APPROVED A RECOMMENDATION THAT THE BONN GROUP  
CONSIDER THE SPECIFIC ISSUE OF INCLUSION OF BERLIN IN  
EC AGREEMENTS WITH CEMA AND THAT, IF  
NECESSARY, THE BONN GROUP BE ASSISTED BY EC EXPERTS  
FROM FRANCE, THE UK, AND THE FRG (76 SECTO 32047, PARAS  
11 AND 12).

15. AFTER THE DECEMBER 1976 QUADRIpartite MEETING THERE  
WERE OCCASIONAL FOLLOW-ON DISCUSSIONS IN THE BONN GROUP  
CONCERNING HOW THESE RECOMMENDATIONS WERE TO BE  
IMPLEMENTED BUT NO CONCRETE STEPS WERE TAKEN. AMONG  
IDEAS OCCASIONALLY MOOTED WAS ESTABLISHING A CONSULTA-  
TIVE GROUP AMONG THE FOUR MISSIONS TO THE EC IN

BRUSSELS. THE DEPARTMENT SUGGESTED IN FEBRUARY 1977 THAT THE BONN GROUP MOVE AHEAD WITH ITS CONSIDERATION OF THE GENERAL PROBLEM OF BERLIN'S RELATIONSHIP TO THE EC BUT DID NOT WISH THE US TO TAKE SIDES IN THE QUESTION ON WHETHER CONSULTATIONS SHOULD TAKE PLACE IN BRUSSELS OR WHETHER EC EXPERTS SHOULD BE CONSULTED IN THE BONN GROUP (77 STATE 34742).

16. IN ANY EVENT, CONSULTATIONS BETWEEN THE BONN GROUP AND EC EXPERTS NEVER MATERIALIZED, IN PART BECAUSE THE ISSUE CONTINUED TO BE DEFERRED PENDING COMPLETION OF THE BONN GROUP STUDY ON BERLIN AND THE EC. AT THE MOMENT, THE BONN GROUP IS AWAITING INTRODUCTION OF A NEW FRENCH DRAFT FOR THAT STUDY.

17. TURNING TO THE UK PROPOSAL FOR A JOINT DEMARCHE BY ALL FOUR BONN GROUP COUNTRIES TO THE EC COMMISSION, WE BELIEVE WE SHOULD CONTINUE TO DEFER TO THE THREE WHO ARE EC MEMBERS ON THE DESIRABILITY OF SUCH AN APPROACH. IF ONE WERE AGREED TO, WE WOULD THINK IT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BONN 06960 03 OF 04 141900Z

USEFUL FOR THE US TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH IT, PROVIDED IT IS LIMITED SPECIFICALLY TO A REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON MATTERS AFFECTING ALLIED RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES IN BERLIN. ANOTHER POSSIBILITY WHICH HAS OCCASIONALLY BEEN DISCUSSED IN THE PAST AND WHICH WE UNDERSTAND FROM USEC HAS RECENTLY BEEN REVIVED BY THE FRG MISSION IN BRUSSELS, WOULD BE FOR REPRESENTATIVES OF THE FOUR MISSIONS IN BRUSSELS TO MEET FROM TIME TO TIME TO SHARE INFORMATION ON DEVELOPMENTS WHICH MIGHT HAVE A BERLIN ANGLE. WE WOULD ASSUME THAT VON RICHTHOFEN'S OPPOSITION TO FORMING A SUB-GROUP OF THE BONN GROUP IN BRUSSELS (PARA 4 ABOVE) WOULD NOT EXTEND TO SUCH AN INFORMAL CONSULTATIVE MECHANISM; HIS REMARK SEEMED DIRECTED MORE AGAINST DELEGATING DECISION-MAKING ON BERLIN ISSUES TO BRUSSELS.

18. WE THEREFORE RECOMMEND THAT WE BE AUTHORIZED IN BONN GROUP DISCUSSIONS:

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 06960 04 OF 04 141901Z  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-05 H-01 INR-10  
L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 SP-02 SS-15 ICA-11  
IO-13 ACDA-12 AID-05 CEA-01 COME-00 EB-08 FRB-03  
STR-07 TRSE-00 LAB-04 SIL-01 OMB-01 AGR-01 /122 W  
-----017801 141941Z /50

R 141831Z APR 78  
FM AMEMBASSY BONN  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7716  
AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS  
INFO AMEMBASSY BERLIN  
USMISSION USBERLIN  
AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST  
AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST  
AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN  
AMEMBASSY LONDON  
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW  
AMEMBASSY PARIS  
AMEMBASSY WARSAW  
USMISSION USNATO

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 04 OF 04 BONN 06960

USEEC

(A) TO SUPPORT IN LOW-KEY FASHION THE UK PROPOSAL  
PROVIDED THAT THE FRG DOES NOT RESIST AND PROVIDED THAT  
THE TALKING POINTS FOR ANY DEMARCHE TO THE EC CAN BE  
NARROWED TO THE LOWEST-COMMON DENOMINATOR, I.E., THE US  
INTEREST IN BERLIN. ANY TALKING POINTS AGREED IN THE  
BONN GROUP WILL BE SENT TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR APPROVAL.

(B) TO SAY THAT THE US SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN ANY  
SUCH DEMARCHE.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 06960 04 OF 04 141901Z

(C) TO DISASSOCIATE THE US FROM ANY EFFORT TO  
PRESSURE THE FRG TO AGREE TO THE PROPOSAL OR, IN  
PARTICULAR, TO AGREE TO A DEMARCHE WHICH WOULD SPILL OVER  
INTO AREAS CONCERNING INTERNAL EC MATTERS NOT DIRECTLY  
AFFECTING US INTERESTS.

(D) IF THE QUESTION OF CONSULTATIONS AMONG THE



FOUR MISSIONS IN BRUSSELS IS REOPENED, TO SUPPORT THE  
IDEA AND TO EXPRESS THE VIEW THAT USEC WOULD BE PREPARED  
TO PARTICIPATE.

19. ACTION REQUESTED: (A) USEC'S VIEWS ON THE ABOVE  
RECOMMENDATION; AND (B) DEPARTMENT'S APPROVAL OF THE  
RECOMMENDATION IN PARAS 18.A, B, AND C ABOVE AND, IF  
USEC CONCURS, OF 18.D.  
STOESSEL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 jan 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** DEMARCHE, INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION, INFORMATION CONTROL, POLITICAL SITUATION  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 14 apr 1978  
**Decaption Date:** 01 jan 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 20 Mar 2014  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1978BONN06960  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** X1  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D780161-0421  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** BONN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1978/newtext/t19780456/aaaabvez.tel  
**Line Count:** 449  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** d6a701b0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 9  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 78 STATE 64473, 77 BONN 12052, 77 SECTO 12008  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 18 may 2005  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 2965840  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** UK PROPOSAL FOR DEMARCHE TO EC REQUESTING BETTER INFORMATION ON MATTERS AFFECTING BERLIN  
**TAGS:** PGOV, PINR, BQG, EEC  
**To:** STATE BRUSSELS MULTIPLE  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/d6a701b0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Sheryl P. Walter  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
20 Mar 2014  
**Markings:** Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014